

# Building strategic organisational learning implementation for the Australian Public Service (APS)

**Judith Louise Lundy** 

MBA, BA

This dissertation is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Business Administration

**University of Newcastle** 

**Faculty of Business and Law** 

**Newcastle Business School** 

September 2011

#### **STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY**

This dissertation contains no material that has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. I give consent to this copy of my dissertation, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying subject to the provisions of the *Copyright Act 1968*.

Signed:

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I would like to thank the following people for supporting me in many and varied ways during this research and my long journey through the DBA program.

Firstly I would like to thank my supervisor, Dr Allan Bordow, for his unwavering commitment to helping me achieve my goal and for enlightening me as to the intricacies of conducting and reporting on qualitative research.

I would also like to thank the participants, busy learning and development practitioners who gave me their valuable time to share their knowledge and insights.

I also thank David Gardiner, who edited my thesis in accordance with the Australian Standards for Editing Practice.

A very big thankyou to my wonderful and supportive work colleagues, the Wisdom Learning team. The wisdom of the team led by our Managing Director, Rod Hattch, is very apparent in team Wisdom – thank you all for being there for me, for listening to me, and for your patience and friendship.

I would also like to thank a very special group of lifelong friends "the girls" who did their best to keep me sane and to remind me every now and then how to have fun. I love you all – you enrich my life and help keep me grounded.

Additionally, I would like to thank my examiners; Associate Professor Monica Kennedy, Director of International Business, Faculty of Business and Government, University of Canberra and Dr Llandis Barratt-Pugh, Senior Lecturer, School of Management, Edith Cowan University for taking the time to provide clear and detailed feedback which enhanced the final dissertation.

Last but definitely not least I would like to thank my beautiful sons, Daniel and Josh. You are my inspiration – your love and support even when I have been an "absent" mum during my long journey through my BA, MBA and DBA has sustained me. Words cannot express how much I love you both.

## **Contents**

Abstractxi				
Chapt	er 1. Introduction1			
1.1.	Introduction1			
1.2.	Study background1			
1.2.1.	Topic area1			
1.2.2.	Context—the research setting2			
1.2.3.	Context—the period of change4			
1.3.	Research objectives5			
1.3.1.	Research aim5			
1.3.2.	Discussion of the research problem5			
1.3.3.	Primary research questions6			
1.4.	Justification and importance of the research7			
1.4.1.	Contribution to knowledge7			
1.4.2.	Contribution to practice7			
1.4.3.	Ethical implications			
1.5.	Definitions8			
1.6.	Outline of the dissertation9			
1.7.	Limitations of the research			
1.8.	Summary			
Chapt	er 2. Literature review13			
2.1.	Introduction			
2.2.	Organisational learning			
2.2.1.	Shared understandings16			

2.2.2.	Structure and culture	17
2.2.3.	Knowledge creation process	18
2.2.4.	Leadership and vision	19
2.2.5.	Identification of patterns of decisions	19
2.2.6.	Strategic importance of organisational learning	20
2.2.7.	Summary	23
2.3.	Strategy	24
2.3.1.	Organisational context	26
2.3.2.	The degree of flexibility	27
2.3.3.	Employee involvement in the strategic process	28
2.3.4.	Leadership	29
2.3.5.	Patterns of behaviour	29
2.3.6.	Strategy as learning	30
2.3.7.	Strategising as a continuous process	30
2.3.8.	Summary	31
2.4.	Implementation	33
2.4.1.	Organisational structure	36
2.4.2.	Management and leadership approach	37
2.4.3.	Cultural issues	37
2.4.4.	Goal setting and monitoring	38
2.4.5.	Performance management	39
2.4.6.	Communication	39
2.4.7.	Summary	40
2.5.	Overall conclusion	41

Chapt	er 3. Research methodology4	5
3.1.	Introduction4	5
3.2.	Selection and justification of research methodology4	5
3.2.1.	Social science research paradigms4	5
3.2.2.	Research methodologies4	7
3.2.3.	Data sources5	0
3.2.4.	Justification for using qualitative methodology for the present research5	1
3.3.	Research design and implementation5	3
3.3.1.	Overview of the research design5	3
3.3.2.	Structure of focus groups5	5
3.3.2.1	Recruitment and selection of participants5	6
3.3.2.2	Conducting the focus groups5	7
3.3.3.	Structure of interviews5	9
3.3.3.1	Recruitment and selection of participants6	0
3.3.3.2	Conducting the interviews6	1
3.4.	Limitations of the proposed research design6	3
3.5.	Ethical considerations6	6
3.6.	Summary6	7
Chapt	er 4. Results6	9
4.1.	Introduction6	9
4.2.	Overview of data analyses procedures6	9
4.3.	Focus groups7	0
4.3.1.	Data analyses focus groups7	0
4.3.2.	Results from the focus groups	1

4.4.	Interviews	73
4.4.1.	Interviewee demographic data	73
4.4.2.	Interviews conduct	76
4.4.3.	Data analyses interviews	77
4.5.	Organisational learning	79
4.5.1.	People developing shared understanding as they work together in new ways	80
4.5.2.	Leaders instilling a shared vision of new ways of working	82
4.5.3.	Knowledge creation as an ongoing process	83
4.5.4.	Development of wisdom enabled by the organisation's structure and culture	85
4.5.5.	Identification of new patterns of decisions about best ways to work	86
4.5.6.	How organisational learning is perceived in APS agencies	87
4.6.	Strategy	90
4.6.1.	Manner of leadership support	92
4.6.2.	Operating environment	93
4.6.3.	Learning as an integral part of making decisions and strategy	94
4.6.4.	Flexibility when approaching strategy	96
4.6.5.	Strategising as a continuous process	97
4.6.6.	Employee involvement in the strategic process	98
4.6.7.	Strategy being shaped by key patterns of behaviour	99
4.6.8.	How strategy is perceived in APS agencies	100
4.7.	Implementation	103
4.7.1.	Leadership commitment	105
4.7.2.	Communication processes	106
4.7.3.	Culture supportive of learning	107

4.7.4. Alignment between learning and development and performance management	109
4.7.5. Explicit goals	110
4.7.6. Absence of silos	111
4.7.7. How implementation is perceived in APS agencies	112
4.8. Relationship between organisational learning, strategy and implementation in agencies	
4.9. Summary	116
Chapter 5. Discussion and conclusion	119
5.1. Introduction	119
5.2. Discussion of the findings	119
5.2.1. Organisational learning in APS agencies	119
5.2.2. Strategy in APS agencies	124
5.2.3. Implementation in APS agencies	129
5.2.4. Schema showing the relationship between the three conceptual elements	132
5.2.4.1. Schema level one—dynamic interdependence between the three conceelements	•
5.2.4.2. Schema level two—degrees of influence	137
5.2.4.3. Schema level three—specific relationships between components	138
5.2.4.4. Schema summary and contribution	147
5.3. Implications for future research and management practice	148
5.3.1. Implications for future research	148
5.3.2. Implications and recommendations for practitioners	150
5.4. Limitations of the research	158
5.5. Overall conclusion	160
Endnote	162

References	163
Appendices	171
Appendix 1: Focus group—planning	171
Appendix 2: Interview protocol	176
Tables	
Table 2.1: Overview of the organisational learning literature organised into key themes	15
Table 2.2: Overview of the strategy literature organised into key themes	25
Table 2.3: Overview of the implementation literature organised into key themes	35
Table 3.1: Differences between quantitative and qualitative research	48
Table 4.1: Interviewee demographic data	75
Table 4.2: Organisational learning components—most/least nominations	80
Table 4.3: Organisational learning considerations	89
Table 4.4: Strategy components—most/least nominations	91
Table 4.5: Strategy considerations	102
Table 4.6: Implementation components—most/least nominations	104
Table 4.7: Implementation considerations	113
Table 5.1: Related components organised into clusters for schema level 3	142
Table 5.2: Key to Figures 2 to 4	143
Table 5.3: Practitioner checklist for facilitating organisational learning in APS agencies	152
Table 5.4: Practitioner checklist for strategising in APS agencies	154
Table 5.5: Practitioner checklist for implementing strategy in APS agencies	156
Figures	
Figure 5.1: Schema level 1—pyramid showing high-level relationship between the three conceptual elements	136

Figure 5.2: Schema levels 2 and 3—organisational learning pyramid face	144
Figure 5.3: Schema levels 2 and 3—strategy pyramid face	145
Figure 5.4: Schema levels 2 and 3—implementation pyramid face	146

Page   <b>x</b>	

### **Abstract**

The main aim of this research project "Building strategic organisational learning implementation for the Australian Public Service (APS)" was to add to a better conceptual and pragmatic understanding of strategic organisational learning implementation in an Australian context, with particular reference to the APS during a period of change.

To achieve this aim, a qualitative research methodology utilising focus groups and semistructured in-depth interviews with learning and development practitioners was employed, and interpretive content analyses were used to analyse the data collected.

The results showed what actively engaged practitioners believed to be important considerations in regards to the three conceptual elements and their component parts in terms of contributing to the success of a change initiative. Additionally, the results showed the crucial interrelationships occurring between the three conceptual elements and their component parts. In particular, the results highlighted that, in keeping with structuration theory, the organisational learning element is seen as a recursive rather than a linear process, in that it is purposefully engaged by virtue of the inputs to, and the outcomes of, both the strategy and implementation elements to which it is dynamically linked. The research has also highlighted the importance of leadership to the three conceptual elements, both singularly and collectively, and has suggested that this is an area that warrants further investigation as matter of priority within management research. Additional areas for future research were also identified.

A set of graphical-type schemata was developed depicting both the dynamic interdependent relationship between each of the three conceptual elements and at the same time interposing the ongoing, cyclic interplay that organisational learning presents between its other partner

elements.	Additionally	, these	schema	represent	specific	relationships	between	the	different
components that make up each of the three conceptual elements.									